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1. **Course Description:**
   This course presents foundation knowledge and skills essential to interpersonal practice while considering the community, organizational, and policy contexts in which social workers practice. It integrates content on multiculturalism, diversity, social justice, and social change issues, and it relies on the historical, contextual, and social science knowledge presented concurrently in the foundation SWPS and HBSE courses. The student's field experience and future practice methods courses will build upon the skills presented in this basic course. Throughout this course, students examine social work values and ethics as well as issues of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religion, and ability as these relate to interpersonal practice.

2. **Course Content:**
   Students will learn various social work roles (e.g., counselor, group facilitator, mediator, broker, advocate and resource planner), recognizing that these roles must be based on an awareness of cause and effect and on the adherence to social work values and ethics. Students will understand the importance of developing relationships with clients, colleagues, supervisors, other professionals, and many other constituencies that make up the organizations in which they work. Students will also learn how self-awareness and the conscious use of self affect the helping relationship.

   In this course all phases of the IP treatment and prevention process (i.e. engagement, assessment, evaluation, planning, intervention, and termination) will be presented with attention to how they are applied to work with individuals, families, and small groups. Students will learn to assess problems in clients' lives that relate to attributes of the client (e.g. age, race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, ability) as well as situational and environmental factors relevant to the client's social functioning.

   Students will understand patterns of functioning, to assess strengths and limitations, and to plan, implement and monitor change strategies. Students will learn the importance of evaluating methods of change based on situational effectiveness and on whether their implementation enhances the client's capacity for self-determination and the system's capacity...
for justice. Various prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation models will be covered as well as various IP skills. In subsequent IP courses, more emphasis will be placed on specialized assessment procedures, evaluation, treatment interventions, termination.

3. **Course Objectives:**

Upon completion of this course, students will be able to:

(1) Describe ecological-systems, bio-psycho-social, problem solving, structural, and pathology versus strengths based frameworks in practice with individuals, families, and small groups AND critique the strengths and weakness of these various frameworks.

(2) Recognize the impact of race, gender, ethnicity, social class, sexual orientation, power and privilege on interpersonal practice by:
   (a) demonstrating self-awareness about how their attributes and life experiences impact on their capacity to relate to others with different personal attributes and life experiences.
   (b) describe how others who are very different may perceive them and how status and power issues impact professional relationships with clients, colleagues, and other professions.

(3) Carry out the roles of advocate, broker, counselor/therapist, group facilitator, and resource developer and assess the appropriateness of these roles in context.

(4) Demonstrate basic interpersonal practice skills including active listening, empathic responding, critical thinking, case recording, and contracting.

(5) Conduct culturally sensitive interpersonal practice by:
   (a) engaging diverse client systems
   (b) employing assessment protocols of PIE, ecomaps, genograms, network maps, and group composition
   (c) articulating treatment and prevention goals, developing measurable treatment and prevention objectives, and employing measurement tools to monitor and evaluate practice while maintaining sensitivity to the special needs of clients.
   (d) implementing treatment protocols consistent with treatment plans and sensitive to clients' situations
   (e) recognizing basic termination issues that pertain to interpersonal practice.

(6) Operationalize the NASW code of ethics and recognize value dilemmas that emerge in interpersonal practice.

4. **Course Design:**

This course will employ a number of pedagogical strategies to promote skill development such as: gamed simulations, case analysis, interactive media simulations, exercises in vivo, practice within the classroom through role playing, didactic presentation of theory/models/procedures, modeling with demonstration on video, etc.
5. Relationship of the Course to Four Curricular Themes:

- **Multiculturalism and Diversity** will be concentrated in the topics of relationship building, communication, assessment, intervention, termination and evaluation. These topics will explore how the differences between worker and client impact and shape these critical dimensions of social work practice. Critical consciousness about power imbalances between worker and client and between client and agency will also be explored.

- **Social Justice and Social Change** will be central to the topic of various roles assumed by social workers and in clienthood. The focus of the course is on small system change (individual, families, and groups) but the larger social context and implications for change will be embedded in PIE, ecological assessment, and in the experience of applicants as they enter social agencies. These themes will be integrated into this course through the use of case examples and case scenarios that will be selected by the instructor to exemplify skills in practice.

- **Promotion, Prevention, Treatment, and Rehabilitation** will be themes reflected in various purposes and models of contemporary social work practice. In addition, this course will emphasize skills that can be implemented with promotion, prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation as practice goals and outcomes.

- **Behavioral and Social Science Research** will be presented in this course to support practice methods, skills and assessment procedures. Planning, decision-making and intervention procedures will be directly borrowed from the behavioral and social sciences.

6. Relationship of the Course to Social Work Ethics and Values:

Social work ethics and values will be addressed within the course as they pertain to issues related to working with clients and colleagues. The NASW Code of Ethics will be used to give students direction about these ethical issues. In particular, this course will focus on client issues, such as confidentiality, privacy, rights and prerogatives of clients, the client’s best interest, proper and improper relationships with clients, interruption of services, and termination. In addition, issues that arise when working with colleagues, such as referral, consultation, dispute resolution, and mediation will be addressed.

7. Course Text:

There are numerous texts that present practice with individuals, families, and groups in social work. These texts cover a range of theories, models, and practice methods. Some of the texts that will be referred to in this course are listed below. We will be using a course pack in this class. The course pack listed as SW 521, 004/006, fall ’03 under my name can be acquired at Michigan Documents (Excel Testing) about half a block east of UMSSW on South University. Michigan Documents is located on the 2nd floor above Ulrich’s Art Store.


8. Topical Outline:

**A. Unit One: Presentation and critique of assumptions and frameworks underlying contemporary interpersonal practice:**

1. Ecological-systems
2. Bio-psycho-social
3. Problem solving versus Solution Focused
4. Pathological versus strengths base
5. Disease model versus Events/stress Perspective
6. Structural


*Kemp, Whitaker & Tracy (TEXT), Ch 3, pp. 51-87.

Lum, Chapter 2: Ethnic-Minority Values and Knowledge Base, Chapter 3: A Framework for Social Work Practice with People of Color

Saleebey, Part I: The Philosophy, Principles and Language of the Strengths Perspective

“University of the Poor,” [http://www.universityofthepoor.org/indexflash.html](http://www.universityofthepoor.org/indexflash.html)


*[http://www.lib.umich.edu/cgi-bin/pqissues?27868](http://www.lib.umich.edu/cgi-bin/pqissues?27868)*

**B. Unit Two: Values and Ethics in interpersonal practice:**

1. Codes of Ethics – NASW, ABSW, International SW, Canadian SW etc.
2. Value conflicts with clients, agency, community, and society
3. Recognizing, clarifying, and resolving competing values
4. Adjudication procedures of NASW code violations


*Gabriel (TEXT), part of Ch. 3, pp.65-75.
Hepworth, Rooney, & Larsen (TEXT)

*NASW Code of Ethics, revised August, 1996 in Student Guide.
NABSW Code of Ethics, http://ssw.unc.edu/professional/NABSW.html
International Social Work Code of Ethics,
http://www.ifsw.org/Publications/4.4.pub.html#standards/

*Rooney (TEXT), Chs 3 & 4, pp. 37-74.


C. Unit Three: Multicultural Issues and Competencies in interpersonal practice:

1. Intrapersonal awareness (critical consciousness and self understanding of diversity issues – age, race, gender, ethnicity, social class, sexual orientation, disability; awareness how attributes and life experiences impact on the workers ability to relate to others with different personal attributes and life experiences)

2. Interpersonal awareness when worker and client are from diverse backgrounds (sensitivity to relationship barriers, awareness of differences in verbal and non-verbal interaction, bridging diversity and finding common ground, awareness of how clients will perceive and react to differences between themselves and their workers)

3. Power dynamics in interpersonal practice (status hierarchies in the helping relationship, agencies, and communities; ageism sexism, racism, ableism, classism, and heterosexism in practice)


Anderson, M & Collins, P. eds, Race, Class and Gender. New York: Wadsworth, 1994:


Sucheng Chan, "You're Short, Besides!" pp 374-380.

McIntosh, Peggy, "White Privledge and Male Privledge: A Personal Account of Coming to See Correspondences Through Work in Women's Studies," pp 76-86.


Smith, B., "Homophobia: Why Bring It Up?" pp 414-417


Center for World Indigenous Studies http://www.cwis.org/


*J. Daniels ed., Letters to America, Detroit, MI: Wayne State Univ. Press, 1995:
Espada, Martin, "Jorge the Church Janitor Finally Quits," p. 86.
Young, Al, "A Poem For Players," p.199.
Ngee-Chinn, Daryl, "Skin Color From The Sun," p. 46.

http://www.lib.umich.edu/cgi-bin/pqissues?27868


**D. Unit Four: Basic Interpersonal Practice Skills:**

1. Active listening
2. Empathic responding
3. Case Recording
4. Critical, Creative, and Lateral thinking


Hepworth, Rooney, Larsen (TEXT):


*Dunlap, Richard, "Typical Gaffes and Faux Pas. . ."
E. Unit Five: The Service/Treatment Sequence:

1. Engaging diverse client systems:

   a. from applicant to client


   *Garvin & Seabury (TEXT), Ch 4 & part of Ch 6, pp. 79-106 & 127-145.


   Perlman, Helen Harris, "Intake and Some Role Considerations," Social Casework, 41, April 1960, pp. 171-177.


   *Rooney, Ronald, (TEXT), Ch. 2, pp. 17-36

   b. the group proposal:


   *Compton & Gallaway (TEXT):
   Reading 2-3, “An Ecosystemic Approach to Assessment,” pp. 66-78;


*Kemp, Whitaker & Tracy (TEXT), Ch. 3, pp. 51-87.

a. Crisis Assessment/Intervention:


Golan, N., Treatment in Crisis Situation, Ch 4, pp. 59-89.


Ch 10 by Hess & Ruster, "Assessment and Crisis Intervention with Clients in a Hospital Emergency Room," pp. 196-220.


*Wainrib & Bloch (TEXT), Chs. 2 & 3, pp.11-63.

b. Suicide/Violence Assessment:

Hepworth, Rooney & Larsen (TEXT): Part of Ch 9, pp. 238-240.


Hoff (Text), Chs. 6 & 7; pp. 167-210, 211-232.


http://www.ssw.umich.edu/faculty/bseabury/Rube


3. **The treatment/prevention plan**, generating measurable case objectives and time limits that can be written into agency based forms, differentiating between client-centered goals, objectives, and tasks


Hepworth, Rooney & Larsen (TEXT):  
Parts of Ch. 12, “Negotiating Goals and Formulating a Contract,” pp. 327-339; 348-356.

*Garvin & Seabury (TEXT), Ch 7, pp. 153-171.


*Rooney (TEXT), Chs. 9 & 10, pp. 175-230.

4. **Evaluation procedures/protocols** that fit the treatment/prevention plan such as Goal Attainment Scales (GAS), Visual Analogue Scales (VAS), Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), Subjective Units of Discomfort Scale (SUDS), Hudson Scales, etc


Hepworth, Rooney & Larsen (TEXT):  

Garvin & Seabury (TEXT) Ch.12, pp. 285-305.


5. **Termination, transfer, and evaluation** used to end the treatment sequence such as rituals/ceremonies to deal with transference issues, planning to maintain changes in the Client System, Client Satisfaction Questionnaires, etc.


Garvin & Seabury (TEXT), Ch 17, pp. 421-437.


F. **Unit Six: Interventive Roles of interpersonal practice:**

   1. Advocate (case, class, internal and external advocacy; identifying and assessing the support and resistance of decision makers; from gentle nudge, persuasion, bargaining, to adversarial tactics; principles of documentation of the issue, client involvement, least contest, escalation of tactics, avoiding no-win contest, etc.)

   2. Broker (needs vs. wants; knowledge of formal, informal and indigenous resources; linking and cementing strategies, etc)

   3. Group Facilitator (types of leadership, impact of race, gender, social status on member perception of leadership, effective and ineffective leadership moves, responsibilities of group members, effective and ineffective teamwork, etc.)

   4. Counselor/therapist (direct/non-directive styles; active listening and empathic responding; partializing and goal focused assessment; basic intervention tactics, etc.)


*Garvin & Seabury (TEXT), Part of Ch. 13, pp. 313-329.

Hepworth, Rooney, & Larsen (TEXT):

Ch. 2, “Direct Practice: Domain, Philosophy, Roles,” pp. 25-34.

Ch 15. Developing Resources, Planning and Advocacy as Intervention Strategies (pp.448-455)

   1. **The Broker/Case Manager:** (needs vs. wants, knowledge of formal, informal, and indigenous resources, linking and cementing strategies)

Michigan COMNET can be accessed through the School of Social Work’s web page (http://www.ssw.umich.edu/) or directly (http://comnet.org/gw/index.html)


2. The Advocate: (case, class, cause, external and internal advocacy; types of advocacy, assessing support and resistance to change; change tactics from gentle nudge and persuasion to bargaining and conflict; basic principles of successful advocacy)

Garvin, C. & Seabury, B. (TEXT), part of Chapter 13, pp. 391-408.


G. Unit 7: Family Assessment and Intervention:

*Garvin & Seabury (TEXT), Chapter 9, pp. 209-230.

Hepworth, Rooney, & Larsen (TEXT):


**Grading Mechanisms**

This course will employ two grading mechanisms – log assignments and a final, in-class test. 50% of the final grade will be determined by the final test (50 points) and 50% by submitting logs. The log assignments are graded by the number completed. Students will receive 50 points for submitting 5 logs, 40 points for submitting 4 logs, 30 points for submitting 3 logs, 20 points for submitting 2 logs, and 10 points for submitting 1 log. One log will be completed in September, two logs will be completed in October and two more will be completed in November. Late logs will result in a penalty of ½ a grade (i.e. 5 points instead of 10). The average log is between 2-3 pages in length and describes the student’s efforts to operationalize and discuss course concepts. The descriptions of potential log assignments are presented below, and the first log assignment is **required** of all students:

**1. ETHNIC ROOTS:** The starting point for engaging in ethnic sensitive practice begins with self awareness of our own ethnic roots. This assignment is designed to help you learn more about your own ethnic roots and about the ethnic roots of others. Each of you should identify what you consider to be a significant ethnic root from your formative years. After selecting one root (many folks have several) go to the Gale Encyclopedia of Multicultural America edited by Jeffrey Lehman or the Harvard Encyclopedia of American Ethnic Groups edited by Thernstrom AND
also go to McGoldrick, Giordano, and Pearce, Ethnicity and Family Therapy (either 1st or 2nd edition). Look up what experts say about your ethnicity. Once you have read these sections, surf the Web to find sites that provide others kinds of information about your ethnic root. After collecting all of this information, you are to critically analyze what the experts say about your ethnicity. How similar and different was your own life experiences from what is described in these texts and websites? This written assignment will be due in the first week of October and will be brought to class. This information will be shared in class as we explore the various ethnic roots of our class.

2. CROSS CULTURAL COMPETENCE: This log uses the information that you have gained from the first log assignment and places it into the context of practice when you will be relating to others (client, co-workers, supervisors etc.) who may be from a different ethnic/racial background. Immigration has increased significantly over the past decade. Over half the residents of NYC were born in other countries, and white Americans are no longer a majority in the State of California. Ethnic sensitive practice requires social worker to be aware of some of the conflicts that may arise when they are interacting with people from different ethnic backgrounds. Using the course texts mentioned in log # 1 above or other resources you find on the Web, take two ethnic groups that are very different from your own roots and describe the kinds of potential misunderstandings, barriers, and issues that may arise when you are interacting with these two groups. You can assume that these people will be clients, residents of a community, or co-workers in your field placement.

3. VALUES IN PRACTICE: Analyze the canned case on "values" by discussing the value issues that you see in this case situation. Take a position either for or against the actions taken in this case. Identify the ethical issues, including the social work values and duties that conflict. Identify the individuals, groups, and organizations who are likely to be affected by the ethical decision. Tentatively identify all possible courses of action and the participants involved in each, along with possible benefits and risks for each. Thoroughly examine the reasons in favor of and opposed to each possible course of action. Consider the following issues that we discussed in class or you discovered in your readings: ethical theories, principles, and guidelines; codes of ethics and legal principles; social work practice theory and principles; personal values (including religious, cultural, and ethnic values and political ideology), particularly those that conflict with your own.

4. EMPATHIZING WITH INVOLUNTARY CLIENTS: Think of a time in your life when you were forced by someone more powerful than you (e.g. parent, guardian, teacher, etc.), to have an interaction with someone you did not want to meet or talk to. Briefly describe the situation and explain how you felt about being pressured by this person. How did you deal with the session with the person that you were pressured to see? Were you open about your feelings and did you supply much information to this person? Generalize from this personal experience the kinds of lessons that social workers should understand when they work with applicants/clients who do not want to be seen.

5. ECOMAP/PIE: Go to the following website: (http://cvu.strath.ac.uk/courseware/socialwork/fal/#). Explore this website and choose either the O’Donnell or Smith family. Draw an ecomap of one of these families. Capture all of the
information that is given in the interviews as well as the case notes. Try to be as comprehensive as possible by capturing all significant connections in that family's life at that point in time. After you have completed the family's ecomap, superimpose on each of the cross hatched lines (++++) and on each of the dotted lines (-------) the PIE codes for the particular trouble that has been identified by the family. You may have to draw lines between family members in order to show relationship issues that are going on inside the family. Do you believe the map and PIE reflect the struggles that this family is facing? 

Now you may not want to do this assignment with a virtual family so the alternative is to do it with one of your own clients or someone (acquaintance) that you do not know very well. Remember that this process works best if you use a large piece of paper (like newsprint) and felt tipped pens of various colors. Try to be as comprehensive as possible by capturing all significant connections in that person's life at that point in time. After you have completed the person's ecomap, superimpose on each of the cross hatched lines (++++) and on each of the dotted lines (-------) the PIE codes for the particular trouble that has been identified by your subject. Briefly describe how the process went, what you and that person learned from this experience, and what your reactions are to this kind of assessment procedure. Unless you know HTML, this log assignment will have to be completed on paper and handed to me in class.

6. ACTION: In this log assignment you are to engage in some kind of political or social action during the semester. In class we will be discussing the various kinds of social actions that social workers engage in with others in order to change institutional and social arrangements that impact negatively on our clients and ourselves. The context of this action can be UMSSW, the University of Michigan, or larger systems such as legislative bodies or governmental units. In this log you should describe the “action” and your participation. Explain the goal of the action and who the action was designed to influence. How many folks participated in the action, and how did you assess the impact (success or failure) of this action to achieve its goal? How would you classify this action – collaborative, persuasive, bargaining, adversarial? Why are social workers prohibited from participating in violent actions?

7. BROKERAGE: Listed below are ten general problem areas that social workers see with clients in their daily practice:

A. Domestic Violence  D. Suicidal Behavior  G. Family Problems  J. Etc.
B. Substance Abuse  E. Unwanted Pregnancy  H. Unemployment
C. Marital Discord  F. Alcoholism  I. Homelessness

Choose two (2) of these problem areas and locate two (2) different resources or agencies in a given geographical area that are designed to serve the two problem areas that you have selected. After you have located four resources, then contact each and determine specifically what applicants go through in applying for services. Collect the following information from each resource:

1. Who is eligible for their services (note restrictions)?
2. How quickly can they provide their services (waiting list)?
3. Specific procedures that applicants must complete when applying for services (forms, examinations, tests etc.).
4. General procedures that this resource employs to help clients deal with their problems (e.g. group counseling, lobotomy etc.).

8. ADVOCACY: Throughout life we have the opportunity to advocate for others and for ourselves. Choose one of these life situations and analyze it by applying concepts that we have discussed in successful advocacy. What kind of advocacy situation was involved (case, class, internal, external)? What kinds of risks were you facing? What was the issue and objective? Were you able to mobilize support for your position from significant others in the organization? What kinds of tactics did you employ and what kinds of counter-tactics did the organization employ? What was the outcome of your efforts?

9. EMPATHY: In a small group of three or four of your classmates, complete the Milnes & Bertcher, Verbal Empathic Responding exercises (I-VIII). You may borrow the audio tape and workbooks from me and someone in your group will have to bring an audio tape player. The exercises take about three hours to complete in a small group and are designed for practitioners who have had little or no prior training in empathic responding. After you have completed this training experience, write up a brief paragraph describing your reactions to these various exercises.

10. CONTRACTING: Try out the Task Implementation Sequence (TIS) described in the chapter on contracting on a client or a willing friend who is having trouble following through on a task that s/he wants to accomplish. Briefly describe your client or friend (no identifying information) and the task she wanted to complete. Describe how you operationalized the five steps of TIS with your subject. For TIS to be most effective you must complete all five steps with your subject. Comment on your subjects reaction to this procedure and whether s/he was successful in completing the task.

11. CRISIS ASSESSMENT AND INTERVENTION: Apply crisis theory to one of your cases. Was your client in "Active Crisis?" What were the hazardous events or conditions that were going on in the client's life? How did the client try to cope with these events and was s/he successful? Was there a precipitating factor and was it realistically perceived by the client? What kinds of interventions did you take that were consistent with the models discussed in class - i.e. did you stay event focused and help the client reconnect with natural support systems? Did you employ catharsis and help the client to mobilize his/her problem-solving capacities? If you do not have a good case for this log, you may substitute the interactive video - "Crisis Counseling: I Am Chipper!" which you can complete on-line (http://www.ssw.umich.edu/faculty/bseabury/). If you complete Chipper, you will have to take the on-line quiz and pass with a grade of B or better in order to get credit for completing this log assignment.

12. SUICIDE ASSESSMENT: Apply one of the suicide assessment scales or interviewing protocols to one of your clients - past or present. How lethal or risky was the suicidal situation? What other risk variables were most important to your assessment of the situation? What measures did you take to defuse the situation? If you do not have a client to use for this log you may analyze a literary figure like Willie Loman in Henry Miller’s Death of a Salesman. Describe how you might have intervened in Willie's life to prevent his suicide. This play has
been performed for modern audiences by Dustin Hoffman and is on video tape. You may also choose other films (e.g. Ordinary People) in which suicide is a central theme to the plot. You may also complete this log by going on-line and doing the tutorial on “Suicide Assessment: Rube Farmer.” [http://www.ssw.umich.edu/faculty/bseabury/Rube](http://www.ssw.umich.edu/faculty/bseabury/Rube) In you complete Rube, you will have to take the on-line quiz and pass with a grade of B or better in order to get credit for completing this log assignment.

**13. SOCIAL NETWORK MAP:** Complete a social network map and grid on one of your clients or interview one of your new acquaintances or classmates. Be sure to disguise identifying information. You and your subject should look over the map and grid and identify any patterns that emerge. Do the amount and kinds of support represented on the map reflect any vulnerabilities for your subject? Has your subject recently moved and left behind significant sources of support? Should your subject be working on increasing various forms of social support? Can you and your subject see any culturally specific forms of help giving that are reflected in your subject's map? For example, is most social support connected to religious affiliation or is most connected to immediate family members and not relying on external sources of support?

**14. GROUP PROPOSAL:** Long before the first session of a group, there is a lot of planning activity that must go on in the agency to assure the success of a group service. In class, I presented an outline of an agency based proposal for group services. Using this outline as a guide, write up a specific proposal for a group service that you could implement in your field placement. Also discuss in this proposal the organizational considerations that you would have to take into account in your agency. For example who on your staff would be favorable, indifferent, and opposed to the idea of your group? Who must approve your proposal and who must you get support from in order to implement your group? What kinds of strategies would you employ in order to win support for your proposal -- collaboration, persuasion, bargaining, adversarial? How would you avoid such pitfalls as “turf protectionism,” “hoarding clients,” and “sabotage”? On a scale of 1-10, what is the feasibility of this group being implemented by you in your present field placement?

**15. FAMILY ASSESSMENT:** Choose a family from your field experience (or from a piece of fiction or movie) and operationalize the major concepts from the typology of family conditions presented in your coursepack. Some of these concepts may be hard to operationalize if they are not portrayed in the film that you choose or you have not obtained this information from your family in the field. Please tell me what piece of fiction or movie you are using for your analysis. If you use a case from your field placement, please eliminate or disguise any identifying information from your analysis.

**16. ETC:** If you are not particularly thrilled by the selection of log assignments that I have presented above, then I want you to feel free to develop your own learning experiences that relate course concepts to your professional practicum or life experiences. I only ask that you check out your Etc. log with me before you do it. This not only gives me some warning but also allows me to react and be helpful to your ideas before you expend energy doing it.
The final test will be conducted during the scheduled test day at the end of the semester in December. This in-class test will be composed of short answer questions and multiple choice questions. The test is not designed to test a student’s memory, but instead is designed to test a student’s ability to apply course concepts to practice examples. Before the final test, a study guide will be handed out in the class that lists the various concepts and readings that may be covered by the final test.

**SW 521 – Topical Outline (fall, ‘03)**

9/8 Intro to class, course requirements, course units, pre-questionnaire and pre-test

9/15 Unit II – Values and Ethics

9/22 Unit III – Multicultural Issues and Competencies (Cloverdale technique)

9/29 Unit III – Multicultural Issues and Competencies (Cloverdale technique)

10/6 Unit E-1-b – Planning for a group – the group proposal

**10/13-10/14 – FALL STUDY BREAK**

10/20 Unit IV – Basic Skills

**10/22/03 – ALL SCHOOL MULTICULTURAL EVENT**

10/27 Unit V – Engaging Diverse Client Groups

11/3 Unit X – Assessment protocols – maps, PIE, DSM-IV

11/10 Unit XI- Crisis and suicide assessment

11/17 Unit E –3 & 4Treatment Planning and Evaluation Protocols

11/24 Brokerage

**11/27 THANKSGIVING BREAK**

12/1 Internal Advocacy – Casing an Agency from Within

12/8 Termination and Class Party

12/15 In-Class Final Test